ADDENDUM Greenhouse Gases and Global Climate Change

1 1.0 Greenhouse Gases and Global Climate Change

- 2 Global climate change is a change in the average weather of the earth which can be
- 3 measured by wind patterns, storms, precipitation, and temperature. Scientific research
- 4 has indicated that the human-related emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) above
- 5 natural levels are likely a significant contributor to global climate change. Greenhouse
- 6 gases are gases that trap heat in the atmosphere and regulate the Earth's temperature
- 7 and include water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane, nitrogen oxides (NOx),
- 8 chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), and ozone (O₃).
- 9 The primary activities sectors associated with greenhouse gas emissions include
- 10 transportation, utilities, industry/manufacturing, agriculture, and residential (California
- 11 Energy Commission (CEC) 2006). End-use sector sources of greenhouse gas
- 12 emissions in California are as follows: transportation (40.7 percent), electricity
- 13 generation (22.2 percent), industry (20.5 percent), agriculture and forestry (8.3 percent),
- 14 and other (8.3 percent) (CEC 2006). The main sources of increased concentrations of
- 15 greenhouse gases due to human activity include the combustion of fossil fuels and
- deforestation (CO₂); livestock and paddy rice farming, land use and wetland depletions,
- 17 and landfill emissions (methane); refrigeration systems and fire suppression systems
- use and manufacturing (CFCs); and agricultural activities, including the use of fertilizers
- 19 (NOx).

27

- 20 Climate change could potentially affect other resource areas, including hydrological
- 21 resources, biological resources and socioeconomics. Projected impacts to the local
- 22 region caused by climate change include: decreases in the water quality of surface
- 23 water bodies, groundwater, and coastal waters; sea level rises; increased flooding and
- 24 fire events; decline in aquatic ecosystem health; lowered profitability for water-intensive
- 25 crops; changes in species and habitat distribution; and impacts to fisheries (California
- 26 Regional Assessment Group 2002).

2.0 California Assembly Bills

- 28 California has taken a significant role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions into the
- 29 atmosphere. California Assembly Bill (AB) 32, the California Global Warming Solutions
- 30 Act of 2006, requires that the state's global warming emissions reach 1990 levels by
- 31 2020, and be reduced to 80% of 1990 emissions by 2050. The emissions reduction is
- 32 expected to be achieved through the continuation of existing state policies, and through
- 33 the enforcement of a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit (to be incorporated
- 34 starting in 2012). Existing policies aimed at limiting greenhouse gas emissions include

10

- 1 AB 1493 (the Pavley Bill), which defines standards for cars and light trucks and is
- 2 projected to result in an 18 percent reduction in emissions. Carbon dioxide is the
- 3 principal greenhouse gas responsible for trapping heat in the atmosphere leading to
- global warming. Worldwide California is the 12th greatest emitter of CO₂ and AB 32 was 4
- 5 enabled to take a global lead to reduce emissions and the dangers of global warming.
- 6 The California Global Warming Solutions Act was passed subsequent to completion and
- 7 public review of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for this project. However,
- 8 this addendum has been provided to disclose potential GHG emissions associated with
- 9 the proposed Ellwood Marine Terminal (EMT) lease renewal.

3.0 **Proposed Project Global Climate Change Analysis**

- 11 Emissions from the proposed Project into the environment would include greenhouse
- 12 gasses known to cause global climate change. The primary gas emitted from the
- 13 existing operations is CO₂ and amounts to approximately 279 tons per year (127 kg/yr).
- 14 Under a worst-case, the existing EMT could operate at maximum capacity with all barge
- 15 transport going to the furthest distance (San Francisco Bay terminal). Under this
- 16 scenario, the Project could directly contribute 2,571 tons (1,166 kg) of CO₂ into the
- 17 atmosphere each year. This represents an increase of more than 2,292 tons per year
- 18 (1,140 kg/yr) from current operational emissions from the EMT and barge Jovalan.
- 19 Under a best case maximum capacity scenario, which would require all barge trips be
- 20 made to a terminal in San Pedro Bay (Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach), the
- 21 Project would contribute approximately 1,075 tons (488 kg) of CO₂ emission each year,
- 22 a 796 ton (361 kg) increase from current operations. Whether the EMT operates under
- 23 existing conditions or at maximum capacity, GHG emissions are a very small fraction
- 24 (no more than 0.0005 percent) of the 492 million metric tons of CO₂-equivalent GHG
- 25 emissions produced in California in the year 2004 (CEC 2006). Mitigation Measures
- 26 AQ-1a and AQ-1b provided in Section 4.3 Air Quality would provide some reductions to
- 27
- any potential future GHG emission increases, assuming that there is any future increase 28
- 29 would not result in any direct increase in greenhouse gas emissions, but the lease

in local crude oil production and barging. Renewal of the EMT lease in and of itself

- 30 renewal, in combination with other projects, such as a resumption of productions at
- 31 PRC-421 or enhanced oil recovery in the South Ellwood Field, could result in future
- 32 increases in GHG emissions from the EMT and barge transportation and incrementally
- 33 exacerbate global warming effects.

1 4.0 No Project Alternative Global Climate Change Analysis

- 2 Under the No Project Alternative, two transportation options (trucking and pipeline) were
- 3 examined in the event the EMT is not renewed and other means of transportation would
- 4 be necessary to continue production from the Ellwood Field. Carbon dioxide emissions
- 5 from the truck transportation option would result in an approximate 3,724 ton per year
- 6 (1,689 kg/yr) increase per year over current operations. Therefore, truck transportation
- 7 would represent a substantial increase in GHG emissions over the proposed Project.
- 8 The pipeline option would emit approximately 469 tons (213 kg) of CO₂ during its
- 9 construction; however, after construction the pipeline transportation option would be
- 10 expected to emit negligible amounts of greenhouse gases. Given the substantial
- 11 reduction in GHG emissions associated with the pipeline versus barge crude oil
- 12 transportation or trucking, pipeline transportation would represent a substantial benefit
- 13 over the proposed Project.

5.0 References

14

- 15 California Energy Commission (CEC). 2006. Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas
- 16 Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004, Staff Final Report. CEC-600-2006-013-SF.
- 17 California Regional Assessment Group. 2002. Preparing for a Changing Climate: the
- Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change. A Report of the
- 19 California Regional Assessment Group for the U.S. Global Change Research
- 20 Program. September 2002.

1

This page intentionally left blank